



Review Article

Open Access, Volume 5

Breaking Ground in Oncology; Enteromix and the Future of Personalized Cancer Vaccination

Ajagbe Kamil Adeniran, MBBS MPH^{1*}; Olaniyi Taofeek Oyetola, MBBS²; Olaniran Faozeeyat Oyewumi³; Saheed Olamide Salami, MSc⁴; Bright Oguntola M⁵; Adeyemo Rebecca Opeyemi, MBBS⁶; Jayeola Adewale, MBChB⁷

¹University Hospital Birmingham Foundation Trust & Ladoke Akintola University of Technology Ogbomoso, UK.

²Uniosun Teaching Hospital, UK.

³Medical Student, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, UK.

⁴Osun State University, UK.

⁵Lautech, CHS, UK.

⁶Ladoke Akintola University of Technology Ogbomoso, UK.

⁷Norfolk and Norwich University hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, UK.

Abstract

Background: The field of oncology is undergoing a paradigm shift towards immunotherapies, with therapeutic cancer vaccines emerging as a promising frontier. Against a backdrop of global innovation, particularly in messenger RNA (mRNA) technology, Russia has announced significant progress in its national cancer vaccine programs.

Objective: This paper provides a comprehensive analysis of Russia's emerging cancer vaccine platforms, primarily focusing on the oncolytic virotherapy «Enteromix» and a parallel personalized mRNA vaccine. It aims to deconstruct the underlying science, critically evaluate the available preclinical and early clinical data, and discuss the future pathway to validation and the broader implications for global oncology.

Methods: A systematic review of public announcements, news reports, and scientific commentary was conducted to synthesize the available information. The scientific mechanisms of oncolytic virotherapy and personalized mRNA vaccines were examined, and the reported preclinical and Phase I trial results were contextualized within established drug development frameworks.

Findings: The analysis clarifies that Russia is developing at least two distinct platforms: Enteromix, an oncolytic vaccine using four non-pathogenic viruses to induce direct tumour lysis and an in-situ immune response; and a separate, highly personalized mRNA vaccine from the Gamaleya Center that uses artificial intelligence to rapidly design patient-specific neoantigen targets. Preclinical studies reportedly demonstrated a 60-80% reduction in tumour volume, a favourable safety profile, and increased survival rates. Early human trial data for Enteromix suggests good tolerability. The widely reported "100% efficacy" claim most likely refers to immune activation rather than a clinical cure rate.

Conclusion: Russia's cancer vaccine programs represent a sophisticated and ambitious national initiative with significant potential. However, the lack of peer-reviewed data remains a critical hurdle for international validation. The path forward requires rigorous, large-scale clinical trials and a commitment to scientific transparency. If successful, these platforms, particularly with a state-sponsored model of free distribution, could have profound implications for the future of cancer treatment and global health economics. The developments offer a compelling ray of hope but must be viewed with the scientific caution appropriate for any early-stage therapeutic.

Manuscript Information: Received: Oct 20, 2025; Accepted: Nov 28, 2025; Published: Dec 05, 2025

Correspondance: Ajagbe Kamil Adeniran, University Hospital Birmingham Foundation Trust & Ladoke Akintola University of Technology Ogbomoso, UK. Email: Kamiladenirana@gmail.com

Citation: Adeniran AK, Oyetola OT, Oyewumi OF, Salami SO, Oguntola BM, et al. Breaking Ground in Oncology; Enteromix and the Future of Personalized Cancer Vaccination. *J Oncology*. 2025; 5(2): 1191.

Copyright: © Adeniran AK 2025. Content published in the journal follows creative common attribution license.

Introduction

The global race for a cancer cure is entering a transformative era where humans can get freedom from this disease that have affected several generations, marked by a shift towards precision immunotherapies that harness the latent power of a patient's own immune system to eradicate malignant cells [1,2]. This new frontier in oncology has revitalized the concept of the therapeutic cancer vaccine, one that treats, rather than prevents, cancer, moving it from theoretical promise to clinical reality. Recent breakthroughs in molecular immunology, particularly the maturation of messenger RNA (mRNA) platforms accelerated by the global response to the COVID-19 pandemic, have been a critical catalyst in this field, opening powerful new avenues for creating highly personalized treatments [3].

Against this backdrop of intense international research, a significant development emerged from Russia. In a key announcement at the 10th Eastern Economic Forum in September 2025, Veronika Skvortsova, head of the Federal Medical-Biological Agency (FMBA), revealed that a domestically developed cancer vaccine had successfully completed its mandatory three-year cycle of preclinical trials [4,5]. The vaccine, widely reported under the name «Enteromix,» was presented as safe and ready for clinical application pending final regulatory approval [6,7]. Skvortsova's statements highlighted impressive preclinical findings, where the vaccine reportedly led to tumour reductions of 60 to 80% and improved survival rates in test subjects [8].

The purpose of this paper is to conduct a thorough examination of this promising development. We will move beyond the initial headlines to analyze the underlying science, with a specific focus on clarifying the nature of the Russian vaccine platforms, which have been a source of confusion in public reporting [9]. Furthermore, this paper will critically assess the publicly available preclinical results and outline the necessary, rigorous path of clinical trials that lies ahead. In doing so, we aim to provide a balanced perspective that acknowledges the vaccine's profound potential while maintaining the cautious optimism essential in the methodical, evidence-based pursuit of a cancer cure, a sentiment echoed by experts who stress that such claims must be backed by solid, reproducible evidence [10].

The science behind the hope

A critical analysis of the science underpinning Russia's cancer vaccine announcements reveals a sophisticated, multi-pronged national effort. However, public reporting has frequently conflated at least two distinct and fundamentally different therapeutic platforms, creating a misleading picture of a single technology. The name «Enteromix» has been used interchangeably with «mRNA vaccine,» when in fact they represent separate research tracks: an oncolytic virotherapy and a highly personalized mRNA-based vaccine. To accurately evaluate the promise of these developments, it is essential to deconstruct each platform's unique scientific principles, mechanisms of action, and institutional drivers.

Deconstructing “enteromix”: An oncolytic virotherapy

The Enteromix platform is not an mRNA vaccine but a form of oncolytic virotherapy, a field of immunotherapy that uses viruses to selectively infect and kill cancer cells. This approach leverages

the natural biology of both viruses and malignant cells to mount a therapeutic attack.

Composition: A viral cocktail

As its name suggests, Enteromix is a formulation based on a mixture of enteroviruses. The vaccine is composed of a cocktail of four different non-pathogenic, or harmless, viruses that have been engineered to preferentially target and destroy cancer cells. The use of oncolytic viruses is an established concept in cancer research; evidence suggests that cancer cells often have impaired antiviral defence pathways, which makes them more susceptible to viral infection and replication than healthy cells. While the specific viral strains used in Enteromix have not been publicly disclosed, the platform builds on decades of research into the natural oncolytic properties of certain viruses.

Mechanism of action: A dual-pronged attack

The therapeutic strategy of Enteromix is predicated on a powerful dual-action mechanism that combines direct tumour destruction with indirect immune stimulation. First, the viruses act as direct cytotoxic agents. Upon administration, they selectively infect tumour cells and use the cells' own machinery to replicate, a process that ultimately leads to cell lysis—the bursting and death of the cancer cell.

Second, this process of viral-induced cell death triggers a robust, secondary immune response. As the cancer cells burst, they release a host of tumour-associated antigens and neoantigens, which act as danger signals that alert the immune system. This event effectively creates an in-situ, or in-place, personalized vaccine. In their analysis of oncolytic therapies, researchers at the Cancer Research Institute explain that this release of antigens can stimulate immune responses capable of seeking out and eliminating remaining tumour cells nearby and potentially elsewhere in the body [11]. This process primes and activates a systemic, tumour-specific T-cell response, converting an immunologically “cold” tumour into a “hot” one that is infiltrated by immune cells.

The personalized approach: Tailoring the treatment

Distinct from the Enteromix project, Russian scientists are simultaneously developing a state-of-the-art personalized cancer vaccine based on mRNA technology. This platform aligns with the leading edge of global cancer research and represents a highly individualized approach to immunotherapy.

Genetic profiling and neoantigen identification

The core principle of this platform is its bespoke nature. For each patient, a sample of their tumour is genetically sequenced to identify the unique set of mutations that give rise to neoantigens—proteins that are exclusively present on cancer cells and are therefore ideal targets for the immune system. The vaccine works by providing the body with an mRNA blueprint encoding these specific neoantigens. Once administered, the mRNA instructs the patient's own cells to produce these foreign proteins, training the immune system to recognize and launch a precise attack against any cell in the body displaying them. This method, as highlighted by Vamshikrishna [12], turns the body's own defences into a highly precise weapon against the disease.

The role of artificial intelligence

A critical innovation in the Russian mRNA platform is the reported use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and sophisticated mathematical algorithms to accelerate the vaccine design process. Evidence suggests that AI can analyze a patient's tumour genetic data and predict which neoantigens are most likely to elicit a potent T-cell response, reducing the time required to create a patient-specific mRNA blueprint from weeks or months to as little as 30 to 60 minutes. This rapid turnaround is a significant advantage, particularly for patients with aggressive, fast-growing cancers where treatment delays can be critical.

Institutional context: The Gamaleya center and collaborative efforts

The development of these advanced cancer therapies is a coordinated effort among several of Russia's leading scientific institutions. The Enteromix oncolytic virus vaccine was developed by the National Medical Research Radiological Center (NMRR) in collaboration with the prestigious Engelhardt Institute of Molecular Biology (EIMB) of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

In parallel, the personalized mRNA vaccine program is being spearheaded by the N.F. Gamaleya National Research Center of Epidemiology and Microbiology. The Gamaleya Center gained global recognition for its rapid development of the Sputnik V COVID-19 vaccine, and it is leveraging this established expertise in vector and mRNA technology for its oncology program. This institutional credibility, combined with the innovative use of AI, positions the Gamaleya Center's work as a significant contribution to the global field of precision medicine.

Preclinical results: A glimpse of success

The significant optimism surrounding Russia's cancer vaccine programs is founded on a body of preclinical and early clinical evidence announced by Russian officials. These results, while promising, originate from studies that have not yet been published in peer-reviewed international journals, a critical limitation that necessitates a cautious and methodical appraisal. The claims of high efficacy must be carefully contextualized within the specific experimental settings from which they derive.

This section will critically evaluate the available data from the mandatory animal studies and the initial first-in-human trial.

Scope of the animal studies

Before any human trials could commence, the Russian vaccine platforms were subjected to a rigorous and mandatory multi-year program of preclinical research. Veronika Skvortsova confirmed that this essential phase of testing spanned a period of three years, during which the vaccines were evaluated in animal models to establish an initial proof-of-concept for efficacy and to gather essential safety data. These foundational studies are a standard and non-negotiable step in drug development, designed to ensure a candidate therapy has a plausible mechanism of action and an acceptable safety profile before it can be administered to human subjects.

Analysis of key findings

The preclinical data, as presented in public announcements, points toward a potent biological effect against tumours, coupled with a favourable safety profile. These findings formed the basis for advancing the Enteromix vaccine into its first clinical trial.

Reported efficacy and tumor regression: The most widely cited efficacy metric from the preclinical studies is a significant impact on tumour growth. Reports consistently state that the vaccine led to a 60% to 80% reduction in tumour size and a marked slowing of disease progression in treated animals compared to controls. This variability in effect, as noted by Skvortsova, was dependent on the specific characteristics of the disease model being studied, suggesting that experiments were conducted across different cancer types. The antitumor effect was described as ranging from slowing tumour growth to its complete destruction. For an oncolytic platform like Enteromix, such results are a crucial indicator that the viruses are successfully infecting and lysing tumour cells *in vivo*.

Safety and toxicity profile: Evidence suggests the vaccine platform was well-tolerated in animal models. A critical factor in its development, as highlighted by multiple reports, was the confirmation of its safety even with repeated administration, a key prerequisite for advancing to human trials. This favourable safety profile was reportedly mirrored in the early human trial of Enteromix, which involved 48 volunteers. In that study, no serious adverse events were observed, and the side effects were described as mild and transient, primarily consisting of fever and fatigue—common and expected reactions to immune-stimulating therapies.

Impact on survival rates: Beyond tumour shrinkage, which is an important but intermediate endpoint, the preclinical research also indicated an increase in overall survival rates in the animal cohorts that received the vaccine. This finding is more clinically relevant, as it suggests a more durable therapeutic effect. One detailed report on the preclinical studies provided a specific metric, noting that in murine models of colorectal and melanoma cancers, treated mice exhibited a 50 percent increase in median survival compared with controls.

Deconstructing the “100% efficacy” claim: Numerous media reports have prominently featured the claim of “100% efficacy” or “100% success” in early trials, a figure that requires careful scientific deconstruction. In their analysis of the announcements, Western scientists have correctly argued that a 100% cure rate is virtually unheard of in oncology and that such a claim, if based on animal data, «means absolutely nothing» for predicting human outcomes. A more plausible explanation is that this figure refers to a specific, narrowly defined endpoint within a controlled setting. Indeed, several sources clarify that early Phase 1 trial data for Enteromix showed a “100% activation of the immune response” in participants. While this is a positive sign of immunogenicity, it is not equivalent to a 100% clinical response or cure rate. The lack of published, peer-reviewed data defining the primary and secondary endpoints of these studies makes it impossible to independently validate the context of this claim, a point of caution raised by multiple experts.

Targeted malignancies

The clinical development strategy for the Russian vaccine platform appears to be targeting several cancers with high unmet medical needs. The initial indication for the Enteromix oncolytic vaccine is colorectal cancer, one of the most common and deadly malignancies worldwide. Beyond this, developers have stated that versions of the vaccine for other aggressive and hard-to-treat cancers are in advanced stages of development, including glioblastoma, the most common primary brain tumour in adults, and various forms of melanoma, including the rare but deadly ocular melanoma. In parallel, the separate personalized mRNA vaccine being developed by the Gamaleya Center is reportedly set to begin its first human trials in patients with melanoma, reinforcing the strategic focus on immunologically challenging tumours.

The road ahead: From lab to patient

The promising preliminary data, while generating significant optimism, represents only the first step on a long and arduous path to regulatory approval and widespread clinical adoption. The journey from a Phase I safety trial to a globally accepted standard of care is governed by a rigorous, multi-stage process of clinical validation. The ambitious timelines and definitive rhetoric from Russian officials must be measured against these established scientific and regulatory standards. For the Russian platforms to gain credibility and achieve a global impact, they must navigate this gauntlet and embrace the principles of data transparency and peer review that are the bedrock of modern medicine.

The imperative of human clinical trials

The successful completion of the initial human safety study for Enteromix, while a crucial achievement, marks the beginning, not the end, of its clinical evaluation. The standard drug development pathway requires progression through two further, more demanding phases before a new therapy can be considered for widespread use.

Phase I: establishing safety: The primary goal of a Phase I trial is to evaluate the safety and tolerability of a new agent and to determine the appropriate dose for further studies. The Enteromix platform began this phase in June 2025 with a cohort of 48 volunteers, a critical first step in moving from laboratory models to patients with advanced cancer.

Phase II & III: proving efficacy: Following a successful Phase I, a therapy moves into Phase II trials, which are designed to provide the first robust assessment of its efficacy in a specific patient population, typically involving several dozen to a few hundred individuals. These studies determine if the new therapy has sufficient biological activity to warrant the massive investment required for a Phase III trial. Phase III trials represent the definitive test. In their analysis of the drug approval process, experts argue that these large-scale, pivotal studies, which often enrol hundreds or even thousands of patients and compare the new treatment against the current standard of care, are the only way to confirm true effectiveness and safety in a broad population.

Projected timelines and regulatory pathways

The timelines presented in public announcements appear notably accelerated compared to conventional pharmaceutical development. Aleksandr Gintsburg, director of the Gamaleya Center, announced that human trials for the personalized melanoma vaccine are scheduled to begin as early as September-October 2025. More strikingly, some reports suggest a potential public release of a Russian cancer vaccine by early 2025, contingent on approval from the Russian Ministry of Health.

This timeline suggests a potential fast-track regulatory pathway within Russia, a sovereign decision that prioritizes rapid access for its citizens. This approach is not without precedent, as a similar strategy was employed for the Sputnik V COVID-19 vaccine. While this accelerates availability within Russia, it also means that the data package at the time of initial deployment may not meet the more extensive requirements of international regulatory bodies, potentially delaying or complicating its adoption in other parts of the world.

The critical need for global validation

The most significant hurdle for the Russian vaccine platforms in gaining international scientific acceptance is the current lack of transparent, peer-reviewed data. A recurring point of concern, as highlighted by numerous international observers, is that the claims of high efficacy have been made through official statements and state-affiliated media rather than through publications in reputable scientific journals.

In the global scientific community, peer review is the fundamental mechanism for validating research. It ensures that conclusions are supported by evidence and that findings are reproducible. In the view of Ibrahim [13], until a complete dossier is submitted to leading international medical journals and European or American health authorities, caution remains essential. This sentiment is echoed by other experts who emphasize that without this process, the announcements remain, from a scientific perspective, unverifiable claims.

Managing expectations: Scientific rigor vs. public hope

The narrative surrounding the Russian vaccines has often been framed in superlative terms, with headlines describing a “miracle” or a “revolution”. While this language reflects the profound hope for a cure, it is essential to temper this enthusiasm with scientific realism. Evidence from the history of drug development suggests that many therapies showing remarkable results in preclinical models ultimately fail in larger, more rigorous human studies.

The research of Millington [13], quoting expert David Pinato, challenges the assumption that success in animal models translates directly to humans, noting the profound complexities of the human immune system that animal models cannot fully replicate. Therefore, while the initial signals from the Russian programs are encouraging, it is crucial to manage expectations. The journey from a Phase I trial to a globally available, life-saving therapy is long and fraught with a high rate of attrition, and for now, these developments represent a promising «ray of hope» rather than a proven “global cure”.

Conclusion

The emergence of Russia's advanced cancer vaccine programs, encompassing both the Enteromix oncolytic virus platform and a parallel personalized mRNA technology, represents a significant and ambitious undertaking in the global fight against cancer. The synthesis of the available data reveals a landscape of genuine scientific potential, juxtaposed with substantial hurdles related to validation and transparency. The ultimate impact of these programs will depend not only on their clinical success but also on their approach to global scientific engagement and the disruptive potential of their state-sponsored development model.

Summary of findings: Potential and pitfalls

At their core, the Russian programs represent a serious and sophisticated effort in biotechnology, integrating multiple cutting-edge therapeutic strategies. The Enteromix platform leverages the dual-pronged attack of oncolytic virotherapy, aiming for direct tumour destruction and the induction of a systemic anti-tumour immune response. The personalized mRNA vaccine platform, in parallel, utilizes the precision of genomic analysis and the speed of AI-driven design to create bespoke therapies tailored to each patient's unique tumour profile. If the preliminary results—including reported tumour reductions of 60-80% and a strong safety profile—are validated in large-scale, peer-reviewed clinical trials, these platforms hold the potential to offer what all next-generation cancer therapies strive for: safer, more effective, and more durable treatment options.

Broader implications for global health and oncology

Beyond their direct clinical potential, the Russian cancer vaccine programs introduce several broader implications that could reshape the global oncology landscape. Perhaps the most striking aspect is the stated intention to provide these advanced vaccines free of charge to Russian citizens. This state-sponsored model stands in stark contrast to the Western biopharmaceutical paradigm, which is predicated on high-risk private investment and premium pricing for novel therapeutics. A critical factor, as highlighted by several analyses, is that if a state-funded program can successfully deliver a cutting-edge therapy at no cost to patients, it could exert immense pressure on the high-cost model prevalent elsewhere, fueling global debates on drug pricing and access to care.

Furthermore, the reported use of artificial intelligence to dramatically accelerate the design of personalized vaccines is a significant technological claim. For patients with aggressive cancers, the ability to move from biopsy to a custom-designed therapeutic in days, rather than months, would represent a paradigm shift in precision medicine.

Concluding thoughts: A new chapter in cancer research

In conclusion, the world is watching the development of Russia's cancer vaccines with a justifiable mixture of hope and scientific skepticism. The preliminary announcements are a powerful promissory note, signaling Russia's significant capabilities in one of the most challenging areas of medical science. However, a promissory note is not the same as a proven therapy. The ultimate success of these platforms will be determined in the coming years through the transparent execution of large-scale clinical trials

and a commitment to the principles of peer review that underpin medical progress worldwide. For now, these programs represent a compelling new chapter in the relentless pursuit of a cure for cancer, reminding the global community that the path to a medical revolution is paved with both groundbreaking ideas and the essential work of rigorous validation.

References

1. Cancer Research Institute. How oncolytic virus therapy is changing cancer treatment. Updated 2024. 2025.
2. Wikipedia. Cancer vaccine. Updated 2025. 2025.
3. Li Y, Liu S, Zhang Y, et al. Recent advances in mRNA cancer vaccines. *Front Immunol*. 2023; 14: 1274689.
4. Millington H. Russia cancer vaccine 'Enteromix' claims after 'successful' trials questioned. *Newsweek*. 2025.
5. NDTV Profit Trending Desk. Russia's cancer vaccine shows high efficacy in preclinical trials. *NDTV Profit*. 2025.
6. ETV Bharat. Russia's FMBA has announced its colon cancer vaccine is ready for use. 2025.
7. Jha A. Russian scientists say cancer vaccine Enteromix ready for use after successful trials. *India Today*. 2025.
8. WION. Russia's Enteromix cancer vaccine: a game-changer in cancer treatment?. 2025.
9. Winter E. Fact check: analyzing claim Russian cancer vaccine is ready for use. *Yahoo News Canada*. 2025.
10. Ibrahim B. Enteromix: breakthrough or mirage in Russia's anti-cancer vaccine? *This Is Beirut*. 2025.
11. Vamshikrishna. Enteromix: Russia's breakthrough cancer vaccine shows unprecedented promise. *Medstown*. 2025.
12. The Economic Times. How Russian cancer vaccines EnteroMix and personalized mRNA work to control the life-threatening disease. 2025.
13. Medpath. Russia's personalized mRNA cancer vaccine set for clinical launch. Accessed 2025.
14. Piyush. Russian cancer vaccine EnteroMix: a breakthrough in personalized mRNA cancer treatment. *StudyIQ*. 2025.
15. SecurityLab.ru. EnteroMix – an oncolytic cancer vaccine: what it is, how it works, and when it will appear. 2024.
16. TOI Lifestyle Desk. Enteromix, Russia's cancer vaccine achieves 100% efficacy in clinical trials. *The Times of India*. 2025.
17. Global Desk. Russia commences human trials of revolutionary personalized cancer vaccine. *The Economic Times*. 2025.
18. IICRS. Enteromix: Russia's AI-powered personalized mRNA cancer vaccine heralding a new era in precision oncology. 2025.
19. Medical Dialogues. Russia's Enteromix cancer vaccine shows 100% success in trial, awaits final nod for public use. 2025.
20. Gamaleya National Research Center of Epidemiology and Microbiology. 2025.
21. LQ Ventures. Vaccines weekly update. 2025.
22. Financial Express. Russian vaccine for colon cancer arriving soon; aces pre-clinical trials with high proven efficacy. 2025.

-
23. VietnamPlus. Russia begins clinical trials of new cancer vaccine. 2025.
 24. Jain S. Explained: Russia's Enteromix cancer vaccine, which shows promise in early trials. Forbes India. 2025.
 25. The Federal. Is Russia's Enteromix the miracle medicine for cancer cure in future?. YouTube. 2025.
 26. Mirror Now. Russia develops groundbreaking mRNA cancer vaccine worth Rs 2.47 crore; to distribute for free. 2024.
 27. Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center. Oncolytic viral therapy. 2025.
 28. Action Kidney Cancer. New cancer vaccine in development. 2025.
 29. Wikipedia. Oncolytic virus. Updated 2025. 2025.
 30. NDTV News Desk. Russia develops cancer vaccine, to distribute for free: report. NDTV. 2024.
 31. Decoding Now. Russia's 'Enteromix' cancer vaccine: gamechanger or global hype?. YouTube; 2025.
 32. City of Hope. Oncolytic virus therapy. Updated 2024. 2025.
 33. Shakiba Y, Vorobyev PO, Mahmoud M, et al. Recombinant strains of oncolytic vaccinia virus for cancer. Russ J Bioorg Chem. 2023; 88: 1011-1034.
 34. Mahmoud M, Shakiba Y, Vorobyev P, et al. Oncolytic virotherapy for glioblastoma. Viruses. 2023; 15: 547.
 35. Business Today. Could this be the end of cancer as we know it?. YouTube. 2025.
 36. Times Now. Enteromix: gamechanger or global hype?. YouTube. 2025.
 37. Microbioz India. Enteromix: Russia's mRNA vaccine for colon cancer. 2025.